

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/580,501	05/23/2006	Petrus Christianus Maas	NL 031427	2333	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 08/18/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		DISTEFANO, GREGORY A			
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2175		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/18/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/580,501	MAAS, PETRUS CHRISTIANUS						
Examiner	Art Unit						
GREGORY A. DISTEFANO	2175						

	GREGORY A. DISTEFANO	2175					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
 All The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing.	date of the final rejection						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See WPEP 706.07).	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two month	of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS	. A series to the state of Class a being						
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non Co.	mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224)				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		inpliant Amendment (F 1 OL-324).				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. Other:							
/William L. Bashore/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment changes the scope in the claims at least with respect to claim 7 where applicant proposes to amend "the three axes" to "the x- and y- axes".

The examiner would like to further note that applicant argues that it would not have been obvious to have modified the z- direction scrolling of Gilligan to be that of moving the manipulation unit in a diagonal line.

The examiner respectfully disagrees.

Gilligan teaches in column 7, lines 67 - column 8, line 6 that "in the preferred embodiment of the orignal method described in the parent application, there are three patterns defined, each of them assigned to a different scrolling axis so that detection of ANY PREDEFINED pattern directly updates a scrolling axis stats variable, which can be set to any of the available options". While Gilligan does provide the examples of a horizontal line, a vertical line, and a circle, Gilligan in NO WAY limits themselves to these three movements. As applicant is cognisant of, a diagonal line is clearly a pattern. Furthermore, a diagonal line has been a well known technical or symbolizing a z-axis or depth value as may be seen in in Allen's Fig. 4A, Axis 3. It therefore would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have set the z-axis scrolling method of Gilligan to be that of the predefined pattern of a diagonal line.